



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

212.—The Common Council of defendant city on August 8, 1876, passed an ordinance authorizing the issuing of twenty year negotiable bonds to fund an indebtedness created by the city, arising out of expenses incident to procuring the removal of a county seat and its location in the municipality, and of the necessary public buildings. By the decision rendered in June, 1896, in *Myers v. City of Jeffersonville*, 145 Ind. 431, the validity of these bonds and an attempt of the city to refund them, under the law then existing, was denied, although the power of the Legislature to levy a special tax on the municipality to pay all the cost of the location was affirmed, on the ground that the municipality receives a special benefit from the location of the county seat within its limits. The Const. Art. 13, § 1, as amended March 14, 1881, prohibits the creation of city debts beyond a certain amount. A certain act was passed after the adoption of the constitution legalizing the above bonds issued before the adoption of the constitution. *Held*, valid, no vested rights having intervened, although the city indebtedness, with such bonds, exceeds the constitutional limit. Although the location of the county seat and erection of the necessary buildings are not "public improvements or public works" within the meaning of the statute authorizing the city to donate money or bonds, yet they are of a nature affording such color of authority that the Common Council in issuing them will be presumed to have acted under the supposed authority of the statute and its requirements. The curative act, passed after the decision (*supra*) holding the bonds invalid, is not an attempt by the Legislature to exercise judicial power in violation of Const. Art. 7, § 1. One judge dissenting.

MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS—CITY ORDINANCE—WATERING STREET CAR TRACKS—*STATE V. CANAL & C. R. R. CO.*, 24 South. R. 265 (La.).—A city ordinance of New Orleans requires corporations operating street electric cars within the city limits, upon tracks laid down in public streets, to water their tracks so as to effectually lay the dust within their tracks. *Held*, that such an ordinance is a valid one, as being a legal exercise of the police power of the city. It is not unreasonable, as it tends to promote the comfort and convenience of passengers, as well as of inhabitants of the city.

MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS—CONTRACT FOR REPAIRS—CITY OF KANSAS CITY *V. HANSON ET AL.*, 55 Pac. 513 (Kan.).—One of the provisions in a contract made by a city for the pavement of a street was that the contractor should give a bond to keep the pavement in good order for five years. The city assessed the abutting owners to obtain the money to pay the contractor. *Held*, that the assessment could not be enforced. As the contractor must have charged a higher price because of his agreement to keep the pavement in good order, this was an attempt to charge the abutting proprietors for the repair of the pavement of a street. This cannot be done. When the street is once paved the duty of repairing the same is thrown on the city at large.

MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS—REGULATION OF HACKS—REASONABLENESS OF ORDINANCES—*EX-PARTE BATTIS*—48 S. W. Rep. 513 (Tex.).—An ordinance making it misdemeanor to "stop, stand or detain" any carriage or vehicle used in carrying passengers or freight on certain named streets, or in front of public hotels, except when actually engaged in loading or unloading passengers or freight. *Held*, unreasonable and void.